

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/675,466 09/28/2000		Arnold N. Blinn	MS1-595US	8924		
22801	7590 11/03	004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LEE & HA		FELTEN, I	FELTEN, DANIEL S			
421 W RIVE SPOKANE,	RSIDE AVENUE WA 99201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			3624	,		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/200	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Opinion Section Daniel S Fetten Section Sec		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Salet Selete	•	''							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editorising of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no avenual, horever, may a reply as the reply that are 318, (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If this period for only specified above is less man thiny (30) days, as reply within the statetery minimum of theny (30) as the 518, (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of the communication of the communication of the provision of the communication. Falluse for grow which the sid or excented period for regive just by statutic, cause the application of them on the statetery minimum of theny (30) as 19.5 c. \$1.93). Any reply received by the Cffice later trans three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may induce any seminal period that the provision of the communication of the communication of the communication. 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/lare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) □ Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/lare rejected. 7c) □ Claim(s) 1.28 and 30.34 is/lare rejected. 7d) □ Claim(s) 1.34 is/lare objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/lare: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC	Office Action Summary				T				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editorious or the may be available useful to provide useful and the corrections of 3 CPR 1.136(o). In coverd, however, may a reply be limited the provided of the correction of the cover of			-						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of lone-may be available under the proteiners of 37 CFR 1.756(s). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filled Etherwish of lone-may be available under the proteiners of 37 CFR 1.756(s). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the particle reply specified above, the maximum statutory portion will achieve the fill of the particle of the protein of the particle of the communication. If the particle reply specified above, the maximum statutory portion vall specified to the reply specified size of this communication. If the particle reply specified above, the maximum statutory portion vall specified in the specified in the maximum statutory portion vall specified in the specified in the specified in the communication. Failure to report with the protein of the maximum statutory portion vall specified in the specified in the specified in the specified in the particle of the communication, even if through filed, they will be a the communication. Status Status 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 24 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-28 is/are specification and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) field on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) The drawing(s) is doubted a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ddress				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 30 FFR 1.736(a). In so event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 30 FFR 1.736(b). In so event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply visition the set or adended specified for reply visit (b) the set of the communication. Failure to reply visition the set or adended per from emining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any control plant term adjustment. See 97 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) The drawing(s) filed on is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)				•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to . See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some 0 None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum status. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and vil, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be to tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror plication to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered time in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	oly. communication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No (s)Mail Date Paper No (s)Mai	Status								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 August 200</u> -	<u>4</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
Disposition of Claims 4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some O None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application.								
6) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or DNone of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademank Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None or Or None or: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Application Papers 9									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademans Office	<u> </u>								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Reper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election i	requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Bigging 1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Bigging 1. September Notice	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice S. Patent and Trademark Office									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Other: 5. Patent and Trademark Office									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	11) The oath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Oπice Action of form P1O-152.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Pother:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:	200 the ditabled detailed Cilies detail for a list of the definited depies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office	- Company of the Comp	•			O-152)				
			· —						
	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	ary P	art of Paper No./Mail D	ate 10282004				

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of the Amendment filed August 24, 2004 canceling claim 29 and amending claims 11, 12, 19, 26 and 32 is acknowledged. Claims 1-28 and 30-34 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 11 and 12, filed August 24, 2004, with respect to 35 USC § 112 and 35 USC § 101 rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC § 112 and 35 USC § 101 rejections of the March 18, 2004 Office Action have been withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 11, 26 and 30-32 under 35 USC § 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn upon amendment of these claims. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made and are presented below.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-28 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitroda (Us 5,590,038) in view of Franklin et al (US 6,125,352).

Re claim 1:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform acts (see Pitroda, Abstract):

identifying a plurality of accounts corresponding to the user (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36; col. 2, II. 44+; and col. 3, II. 34-61);

selecting a subset of the plurality of accounts to make available to the user, the subset including only those accounts that can be used by the user to make a purchase at the merchant server (see Pitroda, col. 3, II. 62 to col. 4, II. 11); and

presenting the subset of accounts to the user (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36; col. 2, II. 44+; and col. 3, II. 34-61).

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses an indication of a purchase a user is attempting to make via a status indication during a sales transaction, but fails to disclose receiving, from a merchant server. This is disclosed by Franklin (see Franklin, Abstract). It would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to use the merchant server as disclosed in Franklin, because an artisan at the time of the invention would have recognized the advantages of the merchant server over the POS, to provide various status and transaction over the notoriously old and well known Internet. Thus to substitute the merchant server for the POS would have provided the latest network technology as well as an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Re claim 2:

Pitroda discloses that the plurality of accounts include one or more of: credit card accounts, debit card payment accounts, gift certificate payment accounts, rebate payment accounts, reward payment accounts, and cash payment accounts (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36).

Re claim 3:

Pitroda discloses that the accounts that can be used to make a purchase at the merchant server are those accounts of a type identified by the merchant server as well as additional accounts of a type that are mapped to an account type identified by the merchant server as being useable (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 47 to col. 14, II. 32).

Art Unit: 3624

Re claim 4:

Pitroda discloses that the accounts that can be used to make a purchase at the merchant server are those accounts of a type identified by the merchant server as well as additional accounts of a type from which funds can be added to an account of a type identified by the merchant server (see Pitroda, figs. 18 & 19, col. 14, II. 39-49).

Re claim 5:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer readable media wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including receiving, from the merchant server, the identification of types of accounts as part of the indication of the purchase the user is attempting to make (see claim 1).

Re claim 6:

Pitroda wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including: receiving a user selection of one of the subset of accounts; and forwarding, to the merchant server, payment information corresponding to the selected account (see claim 1).

Re claim 7:

Wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

Art Unit: 3624

receiving a user selection of two or more of the subset of accounts;
allowing the user to combine funds from the selected accounts into a
combined account; and

forwarding, to the merchant server, payment information corresponding to the combined account.

Re claim 8:

Pitroda discloses wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including allowing the user to combine funds from one account that is not identified by the merchant as acceptable with another account that is identified by the merchant as acceptable.

Re claim 9:

Pitroda wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving a user selection of one of the subset of accounts;

mapping payment information corresponding to the account to a format that can be used to make a purchase at the merchant server; and

forwarding, to the merchant server, the mapped payment information (see Franklin col. 3, II. 48+).

Art Unit: 3624

Re claim 10:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer readable media wherein the plurality of accounts are identified in an electronic wallet UET (see Pitroda, figs. 12-14 and 19-24), and wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving, from the merchant server, a request for information not included in the electronic wallet (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32); querying the user for the information; receiving a response to the query;

returning the response to the merchant server as the requested information (see claim 1, and col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32, also col. 15, II. 40+).

Re claim 11:

Pitroda discloses presenting a plurality of accounts of different types to a user (see Pitroda, figs. 12, 13, Abstract), but fails to disclose allowing the user to transfer value from one of the plurality of accounts to another of the plurality of accounts. This is disclosed by Franklin (see Franklin, Abstract). It would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow for the aforementionted feature to provide convenience to the user in making various transactions in different accounts. Thus such a modification would be an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

Art Unit: 3624

Re claim 12:

Pitroda discloses storing the plurality of accounts in an electronic wallet on a remote server (see Pitroda, col. 11, II. 40+; and col. 14, II. 40-65).

Re claim 13:

Pitroda discloses wherein the different types of accounts include two or more of debit card payment accounts, gift certificate payment accounts, rebate payment accounts, reward payment accounts, allowance payment accounts, and cash payment accounts (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 8-49).

Re claim 14:

Pitroda discloses wherein the allowing comprises allowing the user to transfer value from one of the plurality of accounts to another of the plurality of accounts while in the process of making an on-line purchase (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 8-49).

Re claim 15:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses wherein the allowing further comprises allowing the user to transfer value from an account that is not accepted by a merchant at which the on-line purchase

Page 9

is being made to an account that is accepted by the merchant (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 8-

49).

Re claim 16:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 11 (see Pitroda, Abstract; and col. 12, II. 7+).

Re claim 17:

A system comprising:

a wallet server to store a plurality of payment accounts for a user; and a user interface component, coupled to the wallet server, to display the plurality of payment accounts and to allow manipulation of the payment accounts including transferring value from one payment account to another (see Pitroda, col. 10, ll. 9+).

Re claim 18:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses a system wherein the user interface component comprises a web page (see Franklin, col. 6, II. 12+).

Re claim 19:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses receiving a request from a user to make a purchase using a particular type of fund;

converting payment information corresponding to the particular fund to a credit card format; and communicating the converted payment information to a merchant for the purchase (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36).

Re claims 22 and 24:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses converting the payment information to the credit card format without the user's knowledge; and communicating the converted payment information to the merchant without any indication of the conversion from the particular type of fund (see Pitroda, col. 2, II. 44+)

Re claim 23:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses that converting comprises converting the payment information to a Visa® credit card format (see Pitroda, col. 11, II. 39-58).

Re claim 25:

Pitroda in view of Franklin discloses that one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 19 (see Pitroda, col. 12, II. 7+).

Re claim 26:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses maintaining a plurality of different types of payment accounts for a user; and allowing the user to transfer payment information stored in the payment accounts to merchants to make purchases (see Pitroda, col. 3, II. 62 to col. 4, II. 11).

Re claim 27:

Pitroda discloses wherein the types of payment accounts include one or more of debit cards, gift certificates, rebates, allowances, and cash (see Pitroda, col. 1, II. 9-36).

Re claim 28:

Pitroda discloses that the maintaining comprises maintaining the plurality of different types of payment accounts at a remote server (see claim 1).

Re claim 33:

Pitroda discloses that one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform (see Pitroda, col. 12, II. 7+).

3. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitroda as modified by Franklin et al as applied to claim 1, and in further in view of

Art Unit: 3624

Christensen et al (US 5,710,886). The teachings of Pitroda as modified by Franklin et al

Page 12

have been discussed above.

Re claims 20 and 21:

Pitroda as modified by Franklin discloses various funds/ accounts, but fails to disclose

that the particular type of fund comprises a gift certificate and/or rebate funds. This is

disclosed by Christensen (see Christensen, Abstract). It would have been obvious for

an artisan of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of

Christensen to the teachings of Pitroda as modified by Franklin because an artisan

would have recognized that gift certificates and rebates are notoriously old and well

known in the art to encourage card users to make additional purchases. Thus an

artisan would have been motivated to use the gift certificate fund and rebate fund as a

purchase incentive to the cardholder, thus creating greater use of the card. Thus such

a modification would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the

art.

Re claim 29:

Pitroda discloses allowing the user to transfer funds between different ones of the

plurality of different types of payment accounts (see Pitroda, col. 14, II. 39-49).

Re claim 30:

Art Unit: 3624

Pitroda discloses allowing additional funds to be added (deposited) to a payment account (see Pitroda, fig. 18 and 19, col. 14, II. 39-49).

Re claim 31:

Pitroda discloses allowing additional funds to be added to the payment account comprises allowing additional funds to be added to the payment account by the user (see Pitroda, fig. 18 and 19, col. 14, II. 39-49).

Re claim 32:

Pitroda discloses that the plurality of different types of payment accounts are maintained in an electronic wallet (UET) (see Pitroda, figs. 18 and 19, col. 14, II. 32):

receiving a request from a merchant for information that is not included in the electronic obtaining the requested information from the user; returning the requested information to the merchant (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32; and col. 15, II. 40+).

wallet (see Pitroda, col. 13, II. 39 to col. 14, II. 32);

Re claim 34:

Pitroda as modified by Franklin fails to disclose maintaining a gift certificate account including payment information corresponding to the gift certificate account, presenting, to the user, the gift certificate account as a gift certificate and presenting, to a merchant,

Art Unit: 3624

the gift certificate account as a credit card. This is disclosed by Christensen (see explanation for claims 20 and 21)

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DSF

October 28, 2004

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

low I Baline Prins Ry Au. 3624